

## AI, Addiction, and Consumer Well-Being

Dicle Yurdakul<sup>1</sup>

### Abstract

The increasing integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in marketing has transformed consumer engagement, enabling hyper-personalization and predictive analytics. While AI-driven marketing enhances efficiency and user experience, it also raises ethical concerns regarding consumer autonomy, addiction and manipulation. This chapter explores the ethical implications of AI in marketing, emphasizing the role of AI in shaping consumer behaviors through personalized content, algorithmic decision-making and targeted advertisements. It discusses the neurological and psychological mechanisms underlying consumer addiction, the cognitive and emotional effects of AI-driven marketing and the broader social and economic consequences.

To address these concerns, the chapter proposes solutions in three key areas: corporate responsibility, consumer awareness and policy-level interventions. Ethical AI marketing requires companies to adopt transparent algorithms, mitigate biases and implement responsible data practices. Empowering consumers through digital literacy initiatives and promoting digital well-being strategies can enhance their ability to navigate AI-driven content critically. Additionally, regulatory frameworks and industry-wide best practices are necessary to establish accountability, ensure fair marketing practices and protect consumer rights.

By fostering an ethical approach to AI in marketing, stakeholders can balance innovation with consumer well-being, creating a sustainable and equitable digital marketplace. This chapter highlights the need for collaborative efforts among businesses, policymakers and researchers to ensure that AI technologies promote ethical consumer interactions while mitigating potential harms.

---

<sup>1</sup> Doç. Dr., Altınbaş Üniversitesi, dicle.yurdakul@altinbas.edu.tr,  
ORCID ID 0000-0001-9026- 8606

## 1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has significantly transformed the marketing landscape, enabling businesses to deliver unparalleled levels of personalization and efficiency. Through advanced data analytics and machine learning algorithms, AI allows companies to understand consumer behavior more accurately and engage with their audiences in highly targeted ways. However, despite its benefits, AI-driven marketing raises significant concerns regarding consumer addiction and ethical implications. As AI systems become more sophisticated in predicting and influencing human behavior, questions arise about the extent to which they manipulate consumer choices and foster unhealthy consumption habits. This chapter explores the increasing influence of AI-driven marketing on consumer addiction within digital contexts, and examines the ethical considerations surrounding AI's role in shaping consumer behavior.

### 1.1. Overview of AI-driven marketing and its increasing influence

AI-driven marketing leverages machine learning algorithms and data analytics to tailor strategies to individual consumer preferences, enhancing both user experience and engagement. Unlike traditional marketing approaches that rely on broad demographic categories, AI enables hyper-personalization by analyzing vast amounts of consumer data in real time. This capability allows marketers to anticipate consumer needs and deliver personalized content, thereby increasing conversion rates and fostering long-term customer relationships.

One of the key advantages of AI in marketing is its ability to optimize decision-making. AI systems can process immense amounts of data which allows marketers to adjust campaigns dynamically based on consumer responses (Bhargava & Velasquez, 2020). This agility enhances marketing effectiveness by ensuring that campaigns remain relevant and adaptive to shifting consumer trends. Moreover, AI-powered automation streamlines marketing processes, reducing operational costs while improving efficiency.

AI also plays a crucial role in predictive analytics to forecast purchasing behaviors and tailor marketing strategies accordingly. By identifying patterns in consumer behavior AI can determine which products or services are most likely to appeal to specific audiences, leading to more targeted advertising efforts. This predictive capability not only enhances marketing efficiency but also creates a seamless shopping experience for consumers.

Furthermore, AI has transformed customer interactions through chatbots and virtual assistants. These AI-driven tools reduce the burden on human

customer service representatives and improve overall customer satisfaction. AI-powered recommendation engines enhance user engagement by suggesting products or content based on past behavior, further demonstrating AI's growing influence in shaping consumer choices.

On the other hand, the increasing reliance on AI in marketing also raises concerns about its impact on consumer autonomy. While AI enhances marketing precision, it also has the potential to manipulate consumer decision-making by exploiting psychological triggers. This raises ethical questions about whether AI-driven marketing strategies prioritize business profits over consumer well-being. The subsequent sections delve deeper into the concept of consumer addiction in digital marketing and the ethical dilemmas associated with AI's influence.

## **1.2. Definition of consumer addiction in digital marketing**

Consumer addiction in digital marketing refers to compulsive engagement with digital platforms and content, often fueled by AI-driven strategies designed to maximize user attention and interaction. AI algorithms play a crucial role in this phenomenon by curating personalized content that aligns with individual preferences which creates an environment where consumers remain engaged for long periods of time. This is evident in social media where AI continuously analyzes user behavior to deliver tailored content, reinforcing engagement and potential addiction (Bhargava & Velasquez, 2020).

One of the most concerning aspects of AI-driven marketing is its ability to predict and influence consumer behavior. The concept of “predictive buying” exemplifies this, where AI anticipates consumer needs and presents products or services before the consumer actively searches for them (Kumar et al., 2024). While this capability enhances convenience, it also fosters compulsive purchasing behaviors by reducing the level of conscious decision-making involved in the buying process. Consumers may find themselves repeatedly engaging with digital platforms and making impulsive purchase due to the persuasive power of AI-driven recommendations.

The addictive nature of AI-powered marketing strategies is further exacerbated by gamification techniques that encourage repeated engagement. Many digital platforms incorporate reward-based systems, such as personalized notifications, incentives and limited-time offers, all of which leverage psychological principles to sustain user interaction. These strategies create dopamine-driven reward loops that make it increasingly

difficult for users to disengage which further contributes to behavioral addiction patterns.

## 2. The Science of Consumer Addiction

### 2.1. Neurological and Psychological Mechanisms

Consumer addiction refers to encompassing behaviors such as compulsive shopping, excessive gambling and overindulgence in digital media. As this relatively newer form of addiction has become a significant concern in contemporary society, understanding the neurological and psychological mechanisms underlying these behaviors becomes crucial,

The brain's reward system, and in particular the mesolimbic dopamine pathway, is central to the development of addictive behaviors. This pathway is in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and projects to the nucleus accumbens (NAcc) which is a region critical for processing reward and reinforcement (Volkow & Morales, 2015). Engaging in rewarding activities such as shopping, gambling or consuming digital content stimulates dopamine release in the NAcc, producing pleasurable sensations and reinforcing the behavior (Kalivas, 2009). Repeated exposure to these stimuli strengthens neural pathways associated with compulsive behavior and leads to sensitization and habit formation (Robinson & Berridge, 1993).

Neuroadaptations in the reward system further contribute to consumer addiction. Studies indicate that prolonged engagement with addictive stimuli leads to an overexpression of  $\Delta$ FosB, a transcription factor associated with heightened sensitivity to rewards (Nestler, 2014). Increased  $\Delta$ FosB levels enhance motivation for addictive stimuli while diminishing interest in naturally rewarding activities (Leyton & Vezina, 2013). This phenomenon is particularly relevant in digital media addiction where individuals repeatedly seek out social validation through likes and notifications, mirroring the reinforcement mechanisms observed in substance addiction (Berridge & Robinson, 2016).

Another key neurological factor is the impairment of the prefrontal cortex which is the region that is responsible for decision-making and impulse control. fMRI studies reveal that individuals with compulsive consumption tendencies exhibit decreased activity in the prefrontal cortex which impairs their ability to regulate cravings and resist impulsive behaviors (Goldstein & Volkow, 2011). This dysfunction explains why individuals with shopping addiction or gambling disorders continue engaging in excessive spending despite negative consequences.

Additionally, the role of stress and negative affect in consumer addiction has been well-documented. The amygdala, which is a brain region involved in processing emotions, interacts with the reward system to drive compulsive behaviors in response to stress or anxiety (Koob & Volkow, 2016). This interaction explains why individuals often resort to retail therapy or digital escapism as coping mechanisms for emotional distress. Studies suggest that chronic stress increases susceptibility to addiction by altering dopaminergic transmission which makes individuals more prone to compulsive consumption (Everitt & Robbins, 2016).

Beyond neural mechanisms, psychological factors also play a crucial role in consumer addiction. One of the primary drivers is emotional regulation, where individuals engage in addictive behaviors to cope with stress, anxiety, or depression (Kardefelt-Winther, 2014). For example, shopping addiction is often linked to mood regulation where individuals experience temporary relief from negative emotions through purchasing. However this relief is short-term and leads to a cycle of compulsive spending and subsequent guilt (Dittmar, 2005).

Cognitive biases also contribute to addictive consumer behavior. For example, the illusion of control which is a common bias observed in gambling addiction, leads individuals to believe they can influence outcomes despite random chance (Goodie & Fortune, 2013). Similarly, compulsive shoppers exhibit optimism bias as they overestimate the long-term benefits of purchases while underestimating the negative financial impact (Dittmar, 2005). These cognitive distortions reinforce addictive behaviors by justifying repeated engagement with the addictive stimulus.

Furthermore, personality traits such as impulsivity and sensation-seeking are strong predictors of consumer addiction. Studies indicate that individuals high in impulsivity struggle with delayed gratification and are likely to engage in compulsive consumption (Zuckerman & Kuhlman, 2000). Sensation-seeking people who crave novel and stimulating experiences are particularly susceptible to marketing tactics that exploit their desire for excitement (Leyton & Vezina, 2013). These personality-driven tendencies explain why certain individuals are more vulnerable to compulsive shopping and digital addiction.

Another psychological factor influencing consumer addiction is social influence and peer pressure. Research suggests that social norms and perceived expectations significantly impact consumption behavior (Dittmar, 2005). The rise of influencer culture and targeted digital advertising has worsened this effect, making individuals more likely to engage in excessive

consumption to conform to societal trends (Berridge & Robinson, 2016). This phenomenon is particularly evident in social media-driven consumerism where individuals seek validation through material possessions.

### **3. AI, Personalization, and Addiction Triggers**

#### **3.1. Personalized Marketing & Algorithmic Manipulation**

The introduction of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has revolutionized personalized marketing by enabling the analysis of vast datasets to tailor content and advertisements to individual preferences. This personalization enhances user engagement and drives consumer behavior. However it also raises concerns about algorithmic manipulation where AI systems exploit cognitive biases to influence consumer decisions, potentially leading to addictive behaviors.

AI-driven personalized marketing leverages machine learning algorithms to predict consumer preferences and deliver targeted content. By analyzing user behavior, purchase history, and online interactions, AI systems can create detailed consumer profiles, which allow marketers to customize advertisements and recommendations (Shin & Park, 2019). This level of personalization can enhance user experience by presenting relevant products or services, thereby increasing the likelihood of engagement and conversion.

However the same algorithms that facilitate personalization can also be used to manipulate consumer behavior. Algorithmic manipulation involves designing AI systems to exploit cognitive biases and psychological vulnerabilities. This may lead to nudging consumers toward decisions that may not align with their best interests (Zuboff, 2019). For instance AI can identify users susceptible to impulse buying and strategically present limited-time offers to encourage immediate purchases. This practice raises ethical concerns as it blurs the line between persuasive marketing and exploitation.

Moreover the lack of clarity of many AI algorithms poses challenges in detecting and regulating such manipulative practices. Consumers are often unaware of the extent to which their data is collected and utilized to influence their decisions. This lack of transparency undermines consumer autonomy (Pasquale, 2015). Furthermore the continuous exposure to personalized content may create echo chambers, which in return, contributes to addictive engagement with specific platforms or content types (Pariser, 2011).

### 3.2. Dark Patterns in Marketing

Dark patterns refer to user interface designs crafted to manipulate users into actions they might not have intended, benefiting the service provider at the user's expense. These deceptive designs exploit human psychology, leading to unintended subscriptions, purchases, or data sharing (Gray et al., 2018). In the context of AI-driven personalization dark patterns can be seamlessly integrated into digital interfaces, making them more effective and harder to detect.

Common examples of dark patterns include disguised advertisements that appear as regular content and misleading opt-out options that make declining services cumbersome (Mathur et al., 2019). When combined with AI these patterns can be personalized based on user behavior. For instance, an AI system might detect a user's hesitation during a purchase and deploy a pop-up offering for a limited-time discount, pressuring the user into completing the transaction.

The integration of dark patterns in AI-driven marketing strategies raises significant ethical and legal issues. Such practices can lead to consumer harm including financial loss and compromised privacy. Moreover they erode trust in digital platforms and can have long-term negative impacts on user well-being (Bösch et al., 2016). Regulatory bodies have begun to take action against the detrimental effects of these dark patterns. For example the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) mandates that consent for data collection "must be freely given, specific, informed and unambiguous". This regulation aims to stop the use of deceptive designs that forces users into data sharing (Utz et al., 2019).

### 4. Mental Health Implications

As AI-driven consumer experiences become increasingly personalized and engaging, the potential for addiction and its subsequent mental health effects continues to grow. The intersection of technology, marketing strategies, and consumer behavior has led to a landscape where individuals are exposed to persistent stimuli designed to capture attention and influence decisions. While these innovations offer convenience and personalization, they also contribute to cognitive overload, emotional distress and long-term psychological consequences. Understanding these mental health implications is crucial for addressing the risks associated with AI-driven consumerism and formulating interventions that promote healthier engagement.

### **4.1. Cognitive and Emotional Effects**

The psychological impact of consumer addiction extends beyond mere habits as it influences cognitive functions and emotional well-being. One of the primary cognitive effects of excessive consumerism is decision fatigue where individuals become mentally exhausted from the constant bombardment of choices presented through algorithmic recommendations (Baumeister et al., 2008). AI-driven platforms optimize engagement by continuously suggesting products and services tailored to user preferences. However this persistent exposure to choices can damage cognitive processing and reduce individuals' ability to make rational decisions over time (Schwartz, 2004).

Moreover dopaminergic reinforcement mechanisms play a crucial role in the emotional impact of consumer addiction. Research has shown that online shopping and social media engagement stimulate brain's reward system in a manner similar to substance addiction (Montag et al., 2019). The anticipation of a purchase, the act of acquiring a product or receiving positive social feedback (such as likes and comments) triggers dopamine release which may reinforce compulsive engagement. Over time individuals may experience tolerance, where they require more frequent or intense engagement to achieve the same level of satisfaction (Volkow et al., 2016).

Additionally, mood disorders such as anxiety and depression are closely linked to compulsive consumer behaviors. Studies indicate that individuals who engage in excessive shopping or digital consumption often do so as a coping mechanism for stress or negative emotions (Dittmar, 2005). However, rather than alleviating distress, these behaviors often intensify underlying psychological issues. The pleasure derived from consumer-driven activities is frequently followed by post-purchase regret, financial guilt and increased emotional distress (Ridgway et al., 2008). Problematic smartphone use is associated with reduced attention span, memory deficits and difficulty in emotional regulation (Elhai et al., 2017). Excessive engagement with algorithmically curated content on social media platforms leads to a dichotomy between real-life experiences and curated online personas, fostering low self-esteem and social comparison (Vogel et al., 2014). This negative self-perception can contribute to emotional dysregulation and may reinforce cycles of compulsive digital engagement.

### **4.2. Social and Economic Consequences**

Beyond the individual level, the mental health implications of consumer addiction extend to broader societal and economic concerns. One of the most profound social consequences is the erosion of meaningful interpersonal



relationships. As individuals become more absorbed in personalized digital experiences, real-life social interactions often diminish, which may lead to increased loneliness and isolation (Twenge et al., 2018). Research has demonstrated that compulsive social media use can lead to withdrawal from face-to-face communication, weakening the quality of personal relationships and reducing overall well-being (Keles et al., 2020).

Financial distress is a direct consequence of compulsive consumer behaviors with significant implications for mental health. AI-driven marketing strategies that exploit impulse-driven purchasing can lead to accumulated debt and chronic stress. Studies have found that individuals struggling with compulsive buying disorder often experience depression and anxiety exacerbated by the overwhelming burden of financial obligations (Müller et al., 2015).

From a broader perspective, the socioeconomic gap exacerbated by AI-driven consumerism is another growing concern. Personalized advertising disproportionately targets low-income consumers who are more susceptible to manipulative marketing tactics and financially risky consumption habits (Newman et al., 2018). This dynamic contributes to a cycle of economic inequality where financially vulnerable individuals are more likely to engage in addictive spending behaviors which further entrenches them in financial instability (Himmelstein et al., 2019).

## 5. Moving Towards Ethical AI Marketing

The previous discussions have highlighted the profound implications of AI-driven personalization and its potential to manipulate consumer behavior exacerbate mental health issues and widen social and economic inequalities. The addictive nature of personalized marketing, combined with the exploitation of cognitive biases and the proliferation of dark patterns, underscores the urgent need for ethical frameworks to govern the use of AI in marketing. Without intervention, these practices risk deepening consumer harm, eroding trust in digital platforms and perpetuating cycles of inequality. By aligning technological advancements with ethical principles, it is possible to mitigate the negative consequences of AI-driven marketing while fostering a more equitable and sustainable digital ecosystem.

### 5.1. Corporate Responsibility & AI Ethics

The ethical use of AI in marketing begins with corporate accountability. Businesses must adopt practices that prioritize transparency, fairness and consumer well-being over short-term profits. One of the primary ethical

concerns in AI marketing is the “black box” nature of algorithms, which poses significant challenges to consumer autonomy. Consumers are often unaware of how their data is used to influence their decisions, undermining their ability to make informed choices (Pasquale, 2015). To address this, companies must adopt transparent AI systems that allow stakeholders to understand how data is collected, processed, and used. Implementing Explainable AI (XAI) tools can help demystify AI-driven decisions, enabling consumers to understand why they are targeted with specific ads or recommendations (Gunning et al., 2019). For example, providing users with clear explanations for personalized content can enhance trust and accountability. Additionally, companies should explicitly inform users when AI is used in marketing campaigns and how their data is being utilized. This can be achieved through transparent privacy policies and user-friendly consent mechanisms (Martin, 2018).

Another critical aspect of corporate responsibility is mitigating bias in AI systems. AI algorithms often bring about biases present in the data used for training, which results to discriminatory outcomes. For instance, certain demographics may be excluded from seeing job ads or offered higher-priced products (Mehrabi et al., 2021). To combat this, companies must ensure that their training data is representative of diverse populations. Regular audits of data sets can identify and correct imbalances, while building diverse teams of data scientists, marketers, and ethicists can help identify potential biases during the development phase (Holstein et al., 2019).

Respecting consumer privacy is another fundamental pillar of ethical AI marketing. Companies must adopt robust measures to protect personal data and prevent misuse. Integrating privacy considerations into the design of AI systems, often referred to as Privacy by Design, ensures that data protection is prioritized from the outset. This includes minimizing data collection, anonymizing data and implementing strong encryption protocols (Cavoukian, 2011). Moreover, marketers should obtain explicit consent from consumers before collecting or using their data. Tools like cookie consent banners and preference centers can empower consumers to control their data, fostering a sense of agency and trust (Acquisti et al., 2015).

Establishing clear accountability frameworks is also essential for ethical AI use. Developing a code of ethics for AI use in marketing provides a clear framework for employees and stakeholders, while regularly monitoring AI systems and publishing transparency reports can demonstrate a commitment to ethical practices (Diakopoulos, 2016).

## 5.2. Consumer Awareness & Digital Well-being Strategies

Empowering consumers with knowledge and tools to navigate AI-driven marketing is critical for fostering trust and ensuring digital well-being. Many consumers are unaware of how AI is used in marketing or how their data is being utilized, creating a knowledge gap that leaves them vulnerable to manipulation. To bridge this gap, companies and policymakers must invest in consumer education initiatives. Public awareness campaigns can inform consumers about AI's role in marketing, the benefits of personalization, and the risks associated with data misuse (Zuboff, 2019). Additionally, schools, nonprofits and governments can collaborate to teach digital literacy skills, helping consumers understand how to protect their privacy and make informed choices online (Livingstone, 2018).

Giving consumers control over their data and how it is used is another key aspect of ethical AI marketing. Companies should provide tools that allow consumers to manage their data preferences, such as opting out of data collection or deleting their information. Transparency dashboards can show users how their data is being used, enhancing consumer trust and accountability (Binns et al., 2018). By empowering consumers with control, businesses can foster a sense of agency and respect for individual autonomy.

Promoting digital well-being is also essential in the age of AI-driven marketing. The addictive nature of personalized content can lead to overconsumption, addiction, and mental health issues. To address this, marketers should avoid manipulative tactics, such as exploiting cognitive biases or creating addictive content. Instead, they should focus on providing value and fostering positive consumer experiences (Fogg, 2009). Platforms can incorporate features that promote digital well-being, such as screen time limits, reminders to take breaks and tools to reduce exposure to harmful content (Anderson & Jiang, 2018). By prioritizing consumer well-being, businesses can create a healthier and more sustainable digital ecosystem.

Encouraging ethical consumption is another way to drive change. Consumers can support companies that prioritize ethical AI practices, rewarding businesses that align with their values. Advocacy groups can also play a role by raising awareness, organizing campaigns, and holding businesses accountable for unethical practices. By fostering a culture of ethical consumption, it is possible to create a market that rewards responsible behavior and drives positive change.

### 5.3. Industry & Policy-Level Solutions for Responsible AI Use

While individual companies and consumers play a crucial role, systemic change requires collaboration across industries and the implementation of robust policies. Industry associations can develop guidelines and best practices for AI in marketing (IAB, 2020). Introducing certification programs for ethical AI use can incentivize companies to adopt responsible practices, recognizing organizations that prioritize ethical AI (IEEE, 2019).

Governments also have a critical role to play in ensuring the ethical use of AI in marketing through legislation and oversight. For example, The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) sets standards for consumer data privacy and consumer rights. Expanding such laws globally can create a more consistent framework for ethical AI use (Voigt & Von dem Bussche, 2017). Additionally, governments should consider enacting laws specifically addressing AI ethics, such as requiring transparency in algorithmic decision-making or prohibiting discriminatory practices (Cath, 2018). By creating a robust regulatory environment, governments can hold businesses accountable and protect consumers from harm.

Collaboration between governments, businesses, and civil society is essential for addressing the complex challenges of AI ethics. These collaborations can facilitate the development of ethical AI frameworks, share best practices, and fund research on AI ethics (Stilgoe et al., 2013). International organizations, such as the United Nations and the World Economic Forum, can also play a role by facilitating global cooperation on AI ethics, ensuring that standards are consistent across borders (Jobin et al., 2019).

Investing in research and innovation is another key strategy for addressing ethical challenges and unlocking the full potential of AI in marketing. Funding research on topics like bias mitigation, explainability and the societal impact of AI can inform best practices and policy decisions (Mittelstadt et al., 2016). Encouraging the development of innovative tools such as privacy-preserving AI techniques (e.g., federated learning) and ethical AI platforms can drive progress in the field (Yang et al., 2019).

The ethical use of AI in marketing is a business necessity. By embracing corporate responsibility, empowering consumers, and advocating for industry-wide solutions, businesses can build trust, foster innovation, and create a more equitable digital landscape. While challenges remain, a collaborative and proactive approach can ensure that AI is used to enhance, rather than exploit, the consumer experience.

## References

- Acquisti, A., Brandimarte, L., & Loewenstein, G. (2015). Privacy and human behavior in the age of information. *Science*, 347(6221), 509–514.
- Anderson, M., & Jiang, J. (2018). *Teens, social media & technology 2018*. Pew Research Center. Retrieved from <https://www.pewresearch.org>
- Baumeister, R. F., Vohs, K. D., DeWall, C. N., & Zhang, L. (2007). How emotion shapes behavior: Feedback, anticipation, and reflection, rather than direct causation. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 11(2), 167-203.
- Bellamy, R. K. E., Dey, K., Hind, M., Hoffman, S. C., Houde, S., Kannan, K., ... & Zhang, Y. (2018). AI Fairness 360: An extensible toolkit for detecting and mitigating algorithmic bias. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 63(4/5), 4:1–4:15.
- Berridge, K. C., & Robinson, T. E. (2016). Liking, wanting, and the incentive-sensitization theory of addiction. *American Psychologist*, 71(8), 670-679.
- Bhargava, V. R., & Velasquez, M. (2020). Ethics of the attention economy: The problem of social media addiction. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 30(3), 321-359.
- Binns, R., Van Kleek, M., Veale, M., Lyngs, U., Zhao, J., & Shadbolt, N. (2018). Like trainer, like bot? Inheritance of bias in algorithmic content moderation. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Social Informatics* (pp. 405–415). Springer.
- Bösch, C., Erb, B., Kargl, F., & Kopp, H. (2016). Tales from the dark side: Privacy dark strategies and privacy dark patterns. *Proceedings on Privacy Enhancing Technologies*, 2016(4), 237-254.
- Cath, C. (2018). Governing artificial intelligence: Ethical, legal, and technical opportunities and challenges. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 376(2133).
- Cavoukian, A. (2011). *Privacy by design: The 7 foundational principles*. Information and Privacy Commissioner of Ontario, Canada. Retrieved from <https://www.ipc.on.ca>
- De Pelsmacker, P., Geuens, M., & Van den Bergh, J. (2018). *Marketing communications: A European perspective* (6th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Diakopoulos, N. (2016). Accountability in algorithmic decision making. *Communications of the ACM*, 59(2), 56–62.
- Dittmar, H. (2005). Compulsive buying—a growing concern? An examination of gender, age, and endorsement of materialistic values as predictors. *British Journal of Psychology*, 96(4), 467-491.

- Elhai, J. D., Levine, J. C., Dvorak, R. D., & Hall, B. J. (2017). Problematic smartphone use: A conceptual overview and systematic review of relations with anxiety and depression psychopathology. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 207, 251-259.
- Everitt, B. J., & Robbins, T. W. (2016). Drug addiction: Updating actions to habits to compulsions ten years on. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 67, 23-50.
- Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V. & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. *Minds and Machines*, 28(4), 689–707.
- Fogg, B. J. (2009). A behavior model for persuasive design. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Persuasive Technology* (pp. 1–7). ACM.
- Goldstein, R. Z., & Volkow, N. D. (2011). Dysfunction of the prefrontal cortex in addiction: Neuroimaging findings and clinical implications. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 12(11), 652-669.
- Gray, K., Kou, Y., Battles, B., Hoggatt, J., & Toombs, A. L. (2018). The dark (patterns) side of UX design. In *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1-14).
- Gunning, D., Stefik, M., Choi, J., Miller, T., Stumpf, S., & Yang, G.-Z. (2019). XAI—Explainable artificial intelligence. *Science Robotics*, 4(37), eaay7120.
- Himmelstein, D. U., Lawless, R. M., Thorne, D., Foohey, P., & Woolhandler, S. (2019). Medical bankruptcy: Still common despite the Affordable Care Act. *American Journal of Public Health*, 109(3), 431-433.
- Holstein, K., Vaughan, J. W., Daumé, H., Dudík, M., & Wallach, H. (2019). Improving fairness in machine learning systems: What do industry practitioners need? In *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1–16). ACM.
- IEEE. (2019). Ethically aligned design: A vision for prioritizing human well-being with autonomous and intelligent systems. IEEE Standards Association. Retrieved from <https://standards.ieee.org>
- IAB. (2020). AI in marketing: Best practices and guidelines. Interactive Advertising Bureau. Retrieved from <https://www.iab.com>
- Jobin, A., Ienca, M., & Vayena, E. (2019). The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 1(9), 389–399.
- Kalivas, P. W. (2009). The glutamate homeostasis hypothesis of addiction. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 10(8), 561-572.

- Kardefelt-Winther, D. (2014). A conceptual and methodological critique of internet addiction research: Towards a model of compensatory internet use. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 31, 351-354.
- Keles, B., McCrae, N., & Grealish, A. (2020). A systematic review: The influence of social media on depression, anxiety and psychological distress in adolescents. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, 25(1), 79-93.
- Koob, G. F., & Volkow, N. D. (2016). Neurobiology of addiction: A neurocircuitry analysis. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 3(8), 760-773.
- Kumar, V., Ashraf, A. R., & Nadeem, W. (2024). AI-powered marketing: What, where, and how?. *International Journal of Information Management*, 77, 102783.
- Leyton, M., & Vezina, P. (2013). Striatal ups and downs: Their roles in vulnerability to addictions in humans. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 37(9), 1999-2014.
- Livingstone, S. (2018). Media literacy and the challenge of new information and communication technologies. *The Communication Review*, 7(1), 3-14.
- Martin, K. (2018). Ethical implications and accountability of algorithms. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 160(4), 835-850.
- Mathur, A., Acar, G., Friedman, M. J., Lucherini, E., Mayer, J., Chetty, M., & Narayanan, A. (2019). Dark patterns at scale: Findings from a crawl of 11K shopping websites. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 3(CSCW), 1-32.
- Mehrabi, N., Morstatter, F., Saxena, N., Lerman, K., & Galstyan, A. (2021). A survey on bias and fairness in machine learning. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 54(6), 1-35.
- Mittelstadt, B. D., Allo, P., Taddeo, M., Wachter, S., & Floridi, L. (2016). The ethics of algorithms: Mapping the debate. *Big Data & Society*, 3(2), 2053951716679679.
- Montag, C., Lachmann, B., Herrlich, M., & Zweig, K. (2019). Addictive features of social media/messenger platforms and freemium games against the background of psychological and economic theories. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(14), 2612.
- Müller, A., Mitchell, J. E., & de Zwaan, M. (2015). Compulsive buying. *The American Journal on Addictions*, 24(2), 132-137.
- Nestler, E. J. (2014). Epigenetic mechanisms of drug addiction. *Neuropharmacology*, 76(Pt B), 259-268.
- Newman, B. J., Shah, P., & Lauterbach, E. (2018). Who sees political ads? A model of exposure via Facebook. *Journal of Communication*, 68(2), 207-231

- Pariser, E. (2011). *The filter bubble: What the Internet is hiding from you*. New York, NY: Penguin Press.
- Partnership on AI. (2020). *About the Partnership on AI*. Partnership on AI. Retrieved from <https://www.partnershiponai.org>
- Pasquale, F. (2015). *The black box society: The secret algorithms that control money and information*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Pasquale, F. (2015). *The black box society: The secret algorithms that control money and information*. Harvard University Press.
- Ridgway, N. M., Kukar-Kinney, M., & Monroe, K. B. (2008). An expanded conceptualization and a new measure of compulsive buying. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 35(4), 622-639.
- Robinson, T. E., & Berridge, K. C. (1993). The neural basis of drug craving: An incentive-sensitization theory of addiction. *Brain Research Reviews*, 18(3), 247-291.
- Schwartz, B. (2004). *The paradox of choice: Why more is less*. New York, NY: HarperCollins.
- Shin, M., & Park, E. (2019). How do human motivations and characteristics affect online shopping intention? *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 31(1), 25-41.
- Shin, D., & Park, Y. J. (2019). Role of fairness, accountability, and transparency in algorithmic affordance. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 98, 277-284.
- Stilgoe, J., Owen, R., & Macnaghten, P. (2013). Developing a framework for responsible innovation. *Research Policy*, 42(9), 1568-1580.
- Twenge, J. M., Martin, G. N., & Spitzberg, B. H. (2018). Trends in U.S. adolescents' media use, 1976-2016: The rise of digital media, the decline of TV, and the (near) demise of print. *Psychology of Popular Media Culture*, 8(4), 329-345.
- Utz, S., Muscanell, N., & Khalid, C. (2019). Snapchat elicits more jealousy than Facebook: A comparison of Snapchat and Facebook use. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 18(3), 141-146.
- Vogel, E. A., Rose, J. P., Roberts, L. R., & Eckles, K. (2014). Social comparison, social media, and self-esteem. *Psychology of Popular Media Culture*, 3(4), 206-222.
- Voigt, P., & Von dem Bussche, A. (2017). *The EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR): A practical guide*. Springer.
- Yang, Q., Liu, Y., Chen, T., & Tong, Y. (2019). Federated machine learning: Concept and applications. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology*, 10(2), 1-19.
- Volkow, N. D., & Morales, M. (2015). The brain on drugs: From reward to addiction. *Cell*, 162(4), 712-725.



- Volkow, N. D., Koob, G. F., & McLellan, A. T. (2016). Neurobiologic advances from the brain disease model of addiction. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 374(4), 363-371.
- Zuboff, S. (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power*. PublicAffairs.
- Zuckerman, M., & Kuhlman, D. M. (2000). Personality and risk-taking: Common biosocial factors. *Journal of Personality*, 68(6), 999-1029.

